

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | F          | ILING DATE    | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.        | CONFIRMATION NO |
|-----------------|------------|---------------|----------------------|----------------------------|-----------------|
| 09/536,945      |            | 03/28/2000    | Michael A Epstein    | US000032                   | 5466            |
| 24737           | 7590       | 08/13/2004    |                      | EXAMINER                   |                 |
| PHILIPS IN      | NTELLE     | CTUAL PROPERT | TRAN, TONGOC         |                            |                 |
| P.O. BOX 30     |            | OR, NY 10510  |                      | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2134 |                 |
| DRIARCEII       | 1 1/1/11/0 | 71, 111 10510 |                      |                            |                 |

DATE MAILED: 08/13/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   | Application No.   | Applicant(s)   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Advisory Action   | 09/536,945  | EPSTEIN ET AL.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ,   | Examiner  | Art Unit   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | Tongoc Tran   | 2134   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| THE REPLY FILED 25 June 2004 FAILS TO PLACE TH Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.  | oid abandonment of this applicate) a timely filed amendment which   | ation. A proper reply<br>n places the applica  | y to a<br>ition in   |  |  |  |  |  |
| PERIOD FOR RE   | EPLY [check either a) or b)]  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offict timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C | Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing in FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail | g date of the final rejecting FINAL REJECTION.  R 1.136(a) and the approper the firm and the fee. The appropriginally set in the final | on. See MPEP opriate extension opriate extension Office action; or |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be   | ecause:   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b  | elow);  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ul><li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the application in<br/>issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>  | n better form for appeal by mate  | rially reducing or sir   | mplifying the  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (d) they present additional claims without canceli  | ng a corresponding number of fi   | nally rejected claim   | S.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| NOTE:   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject  | tion(s):  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).   | be allowable if submitted in a se   | eparate, timely filed  | amendment  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: See  |   | dered but does NO  | T place the  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.   | ause it is not directed SOLELY t  | o issues which were  | e newly  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we   | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·   |  | and an   |  |  |  |  |  |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected: <u>140</u> .  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) applied applied on is a)   | roved or b) disapproved by t  | he Examiner.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10. Other:  | ,( <del>-</del> ),  | -  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TO.[  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Leighton teaches in col. 5, lines 66-67 the "original offset watermark vectors" (collection of watermark) are computed using a secret random hash function H" and in col. 6, lines 4-5, the watermark vector is "the sequence of numbers W=w..., each w is a watermark or security identifier. Therefore, in Leighton the watermark vector (W) is interpret as the entirety parameter.

GREGORY MORSE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100